

# MINUTES of NORTH WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE 2 FEBRUARY 2022

#### **PRESENT**

Chairman Councillor Mrs M E Thompson

Vice-Chairman Councillor J V Keyes

Councillors M F L Durham, CC, C P Morley, E L Stephens and

Miss S White

In attendance Councillor C Morris

# 478. CHAIRMAN'S NOTICES

The Chairman welcomed everyone present and went through some general housekeeping arrangements for the meeting.

#### 479. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors R H Siddall and Mrs J L Fleming.

# 480. MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

**RESOLVED** by assent that the Minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 1 December 2021 be approved and confirmed.

#### 481. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

Councillor M F L Durham declared a non-pecuniary interest as a Member of Essex County Council, a statutory consultee on all planning related matters.

# 482. 21/01071/OUT - LAND NORTH OF POPLAR GROVE CHASE, GREAT TOTHAM

Application Number	21/01071/OUT
Location	Land North of Poplar Grove Chase, Great Totham
Proposal	Application for outline planning permission for one detached 3-bedroom dwelling with all matters reserved
Applicant	Mr A Lawson
Agent	Mrs Melanie Bingham-Wallis – Foxes Rural Consultants
Target Decision Date	EOT 09.02.2022
Case Officer	Devan Hearnah
Parish	GREAT TOTHAM
Reason for Referral to the	Member Call In:
Committee / Council	<ul> <li>Councillor J V Keyes. Policies D1 and H4 &amp; S1.</li> </ul>

A Members' Update had been circulated prior to the meeting that contained an additional paragraph to be considered under section 5 of the report *Main Considerations* which detailed the impact of the proposal in relation to the existing stable building, in order to allow Members to make a fully informed decision.

Following the Officer's presentation, the Agent Ms Bingham-Wallis, addressed the Committee. The Chairman then opened the debate.

A lengthy discussion ensued around self-build criteria, potential encroachment into the countryside, the existing development and the possible over development in the area.

The Lead Specialist Development advised that, as outlined in the Officer's report, the concern was the over development in the area as referenced by both Officers and the Inspector and that this space provided relief from this. When considering the site in its totality, should this be permitted, it would potentially have a negative impact on the garden suburb development and surrounding countryside. Furthermore, given it was a single dwelling, it would not have significant economic or social benefits to the community.

Councillor White proposed that the application be approved contrary to the Officer's recommendation on the grounds that there was a need to provide more self-build plots in line with government policies, the benefits outweighed the harm, it had good access and was not an encroachment on the countryside given the existing development. This was seconded by Councillor Keyes.

The Chairman put the proposal to the Committee and there being an equality of votes used her casting vote to refuse the proposal and it was lost.

She then put the Officer's recommendation of refusal to the Committee and upon an equality of votes used her casting vote to support the Officer recommendation and the application was refused in line with the report recommendation.

#### **RESOLVED** that the application be **REFUSED** for the following reason:

1. The application site lies within a rural location. The proposed development would represent an unacceptable form of backland development that fails to accord with the prevailing pattern of development within the area. The development would be visually prominent from surrounding properties and would result in an unwelcomed visual intrusion and urbanisation of the site, to the detriment of the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The development would therefore be unacceptable and contrary to policies S1, S8, D1 and H4 of the Maldon District Local Development Plan and Government advice contained within the National Planning Policy Framework.

# 483. TPO 4/21 - FRONT BOUNDARY BETWEEN 37 AND 39 FISH STREET, GOLDHANGER, CM9 8AT

Application Number	TPO 4/21
Location	Front boundary between 37 and 39 Fish Street, Goldhanger, CM9 8AT
Proposal	Confirmation of TPO 4/21
Owner's	Mr & Mrs Button – 37 Fish Street, Goldhanger, CM9 8AT Mr Moore – Oak Cottage, Mill Lane, Pebmarsh, CM9 2NW
Confirmation by	14.03.2022
Case Officer	Sophie Mardon

Parish	GOLDHANGER PARISH COUNCIL
Reason for Referral to the	Decision on confirmation of a Tree Preservation Order
Committee / Council	as per the Council's scheme of delegation.

Following the Officer's presentation, an Objector, Ms Button, addressed the Committee. The Chairman then opened the debate.

A brief discussion ensued regarding the tree and the fact that it had been a permanent feature of the street prior to any development. The general consensus was that it should remain and that the Tree Preservation Order (TPO) would ensure maintenance and replacement as appropriate, thus retaining the character and historical references of the area.

The Chairman put the Officer's recommendation, in line with an earlier proposal, to confirm the TPO to the Committee and it was duly seconded and agreed. It was noted that Councillor White abstained from voting.

**RESOLVED** that the Tree Preservation Order be **CONFIRMED**.

# 484. TPO 5/21 - PRIMROSE, HOWE GREEN ROAD, PURLEIGH, CM3 6PX

Application Number	TPO 5/21
Location	Primrose, Howe Green Road, Purleigh, CM3 6PX
Proposal	Confirmation of TPO 5/21
Owner's	John Holliday and Lucy Holliday – Primrose, Howe Green Road, Purleigh, CM3 6PX David Fleming and Jane Fleming – Great Whitmans Farm, Hackmans Lane, Purleigh, CM3 6RW.
Confirmation by	13.04.2022
Case Officer	Sophie Mardon
Parish	PURLEIGH PARISH COUNCIL
Reason for Referral to the Committee / Council	Decision on confirmation of a Tree Preservation Order as per the Council's scheme of delegation

Following the Officer's presentation, the Chairman opened the debate.

A discussion ensued around the amenity value of the tree and its contribution to the area. Councillor Durham said that he fully supported the Officer's recommendation as retaining such a beautiful tree was in line with the green credentials of the Council.

The Lead Specialist Development highlighted the maintenance and management advantages that came with the granting of a TPO.

The Chairman put the recommendation to confirm the TPO to the Committee, it was seconded by Councillor Morley and agreed. It was noted that Councillor White abstained from voting.

**RESOLVED** that the Tree Preservation Order be **CONFIRMED**.

The meeting closed at 8.20 pm.